Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRUCE COLUMBUS KYLES,

Plaintiff,

v.

AARON BAKER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-04695-WHO

ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S CE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL DEFENDANTS PITTSBURG POLICE DEPARTMENT AND AARON BAKER

Re: Dkt. No. 43

On October 8, 2014, plaintiff Bruce Kyles filed a notice purporting to dismiss without prejudice defendants Pittsburg Police Department and Aaron Baker. Dkt. No. 43. But the Pittsburg Police Department and Baker have each filed an answer and have joined in a motion for summary judgment. See Dkt. Nos. 9, 18, 24. Where the opposing party has answered the complaint or moved for summary judgment, Rule 41(a) requires a plaintiff seeking voluntarily dismissal without prejudice to submit "a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). Accordingly, Kyles's notice of dismissal is not in compliance with Rule 41(a) and is of no effect.

If the parties are in agreement regarding the dismissals, they should file a stipulation to that effect prior to the hearing scheduled on October 22, 2014.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2014

United States District Judge